App. F IX-4

1940. (Temporary)

No. 214-40

Serial Nos. 1-8.

Previous References.
Temp 229-34-1-2
" 109-34-1-10.
" 336-39-1-12
" 38-28-1-2
" 109-38-2
" 111-31-1-4.

Later References.
" 229-34-1-2
" 341-26-5-6.
" 245-25-3-9
" 245-25-1-27
" 341-26-1-4.
" 166-23-3-4.
" 189-23-1-2
" 532-10-1-15
" 64-08-1A, 6+7.A.
" 64-15-1.
" 809-18-1-2
" 65-01-02 "
" 65-01-02 "

PRESERVE

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. Eight
Temp 257 44-104.

Part B for August-1944.

SUBJECT

Recovery of contribution towards the Temporary Establishment Fund from Subbarayya Das Charities in Tanjore.

No R. 957 dated 16th August 1944.

To The Temporary Commissioner

Sirs,

I am directed to state that contribution should be levied on the institutions as detailed in the G.O. dated 31-1-28 and that the amount should be debited from the Local Fund grant and the balance alone paid to it.

I have &c.,

[illegible]
for Chief Secretary.

G. R. S. APP. F. III—1]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mungs

NOTES. File No. 2 14 – 40

FILE HEADING.

Levy of percentage contribution to the Mysore Est. Fund from the Funds of Sri Subbaraya Swamy Charities at Mysore

FROM

The Mysore Commissioner in Mysore

No. C 2068/39-40 Dated 5 12 40 Received 4 2 41

Diary No. 880	Encls.	Spare copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Requests in the circumstances explained, sanction to the levy of 1% usual contribution to the Mysore Establishment Fund from the Sri Subbaraya Swamy Charities at Mysore

NOTES AND ORDERS.

The Sri Subbaraya Swamy Charity (Sri Prasanna Venkatachalapathi Swami) in Mysore city is in receipt of a cash grant of Rs 696 per annum. It is included in the list of Minor Mysore Institutions. It does not seem to be endowed with any land grant from Government. No Scale of Income and Expenditure has been fixed by Govt. No contributions so far levied from this Institution to the Mysore Establishment Fund. The Mysore Commissioner considers

NOTES—First Sheet

that this institution is liable to pay the contribution (3%) to the said Fund, and he has submitted the case for the orders of Government.

The Deputy Commissioner Mysore writes that he has stated that in view of the Sanads granted by the late Maharaja His Highness Sri Krishna Raja Wadiyar Bahadur III, in favour of the institution and also in view of the orders of Government of the 24th December 1906 holding that it is enough if the accounts relating to the institutions are duly maintained by the Managers and are open to inspection by competent Government officers, the Temple in question is one of the institutions to which the ordinary rules for the proper supervision of Muzrai Institutions, do not apply. This statement of the Deputy Commissioner seems to imply that the institution, in his opinion, is not liable to pay any contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund.

The point for consideration and orders, in the circumstances, is, Whether or not the Sri Subbaraya Dos Charities at Mysore is liable to contribute its quota (3%) to the Muzrai Establishment Fund.

Municipal Dues charities. Mysore — Contribution to M E. Fund.

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 111 (of 1940. — [App F III-2 Pages.

Serial No.

1 Reference is invited to the Rules printed at pages 282-296 of the Municipal Manual, relating to the constitution of, and contribution to the Municipal Establishment Fund. In order to meet the charges of establishment for Municipal work maintained in the offices of the Municipal Commissioners, Deputy Commissioners of districts the Comptroller & Government and the Taluk Offices, a percentage contribution (5% in the case of Major Institutions and 3% in the case of Minor Institutions), should be levied except in the case of institutions where there is not sufficient margin of surplus (vide p 282 of the Manual). Further, with a view to effect an equal distribution of the cost of establishment employed on Municipal work, among the institutions benefitting by its services the foundation of the Municipal Establishment Fund was sanctioned by Government (vide p 257 of the Manual)

2 A reference to the various orders on the points (p. 289-96 of the Manual) would appear to indicate that the liability on the part of a Municipal Institution to contribute to the Municipal Establishment Fund, would arise only in cases where any direct control is exercised by the Municipal Council by,

(a) fixing a Scale of Income and Expenditure, to the concerned institution

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Pulbarayo Dosa Charities; etc. — Contribution to M.E

File No. 216 of 1940. General and Re Secretariat

Serial No.

(b) appointment of Shanmalayi to the Institutions, and,

(c) otherwise supervising the affairs of the Institutions according to Rules framed by Government for such management generally.

In other words a Mangai Institution will be liable to pay contribution to the Fund where any Work in respect of its control or management, devolves upon Govt directly and not in other cases.

The Sannads referred to in the Govt order dated 24th December 1904 and the original file leading to the said order are not available in this office. Under para 1 of the said Govt order, it is seen that three Sannads were granted by his late Highness, the first during October 1836, the second during October 1837 and the third during February 1855. The first two are stated to relate to the grant of Tasdik amount to Pulbarayo Dosa and his descendents for daily puja utsavams in the Temple, and the third, to the rendering of account by the Manager of the Temple. In para 2 of the said order it is stated that his late Highness decided while ordered in the third Sannad that no accounts should be called for from the grantee. In para 5

Sri Subbaraya Dass charities – Contribution to M.E. Fund

Serial No.

V of the said order, it is held that any sequestration against the terms of the Samaad is undesirable and that it is enough if the accounts are duly maintained by the Managers and are open to inspection by competent Govt officers".

V The original Mysore Collection leading to the Govt order dated 24.12.1938 referred to in para 2 of the Mysore Commissioner's letter under disposal is not available in the Secretariat Records. An authenticated copy of the said order, signed by the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District and obtained from the Mysore Commissioner's Office on 17.1.1941 is put up below. Besides the Mysore Collection 109-38-1 leading to the Govt order of the 8th Nov 1938 relating to the case of Sri Subramanyaswamy temple at Ramanathapuram (para 4 of the D.O.), the marginally noted references relating to the same case (Sri Subramanyaswamy temple) are put up for perusal.

Mag 239-36-2 A
" 109-38-1 F
336-39-2

It is reported that the institution – Sri Subbaraya Dass charities at Mysore is being efficiently managed. The proposed levy of a contribution from this institution may, in effect, mean an interference, direct or indirect, in the affairs of the charities. Any Government order such a levy or interference may amount to a sequestration against the terms of the Samaad, referred to, above

Sri Subbarayar Dass charities — Contri

File No. 216 of 194 0. to the General Secretaria

Serial No.

In the circumstances, in case a levy is to be made, on the Temple comprised in the charities, such a levy seems to require the previous approval of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subbarayar Dass charities.

10 This institution which was formerly under the control of the Palace authorities 31.1.41 3rd has since 1905 come under Mysore Control (vide paras 3-5 of the G.O. order no 720-4/ Mys 54-08-6 of 20/1/09). The grant to the Institution is met from the Mysore Budget; & so the Mysore Regulations & the provisions in the Mysore Regulations & the Mysore Rules issued thereunder are applicable to this. In the altered circumstances of the case the Dewan's view is not perhaps unacceptable, & like any other Mysore Institution, this Institution is liable to contribute to the Mysore Est Fund. — For ours —

* Regulation of 1913 Mysore Regulations as amended by Regulation VI of 1927.

11/1/41 3rd 11 The question which has been raised is whether the Subbarayar Dass charities, Mysore which is a Mysore institution administered & controlled by the Mysore Government should contribute towards the Mysore Establishment Fund. According to previous orders on the subject viz. G.O. 924-12-04, the manager is exempted from furnishing any estimates to the authorities entrusted with the expenditure of funds which are open to inspection by other officers. But now that the Mysore

Levy of Contribution towards Mus. Establishment Fund from the funds of the Periyanayakiamman Temple Paget.

General and Revenue Secretariat

Mus 3 File No. 214 of 1940. [App. F. III-2

Serial No.

has been passed & is in force. The previous executive order would be deemed to have been overridden by the provisions of the Act. That is the view held in the case of Sri Subrahmanyaswami temple under the management of the Commissioner of Sri Subrahmanyaswami Kovil. According to that view contribution to the Mus. Establishment Fund is in order. But considering that beyond making payment of the grant year after year, the authorities have nothing to do with the administration, payment towards the Fund may be excused as a special case. is for order

Ag 7

GENERAL SECRETARY'S 4 FEB. 1941 869

4.2.41

Sect)

2nd Member) 12) R 4/2/41

41 Reg 13) RC

S/L

7648.

4051

We Conditions have changed since 1904 and all the Muzrai Institutions are now administered under an Act. The rules and procedure followed in regard to other Muzrai Institutions have to be applied to this

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet

Nilbaraga Dora Bhaiths - Levy
to the M. E. Fund -

Mug File No. 214 of 1940. General and Secr

Serial No.

case also, unless there are other reasons justifying an exception. If necessary, the Huzur Secretary may be consulted in the matter.

15 As regards the levy of the contribution to the Huzrai Establishment Fund, why this institution was excluded, when the fund was formed and the contribution payable by each institution was fixed, is not known. The Secretariat or Huzrai Commissioner's Office papers re: the constitution of the fund and the fixing of the amount payable by each institution may perhaps throw some light on the matter and may be referred to.

16 Whether the financial position of this institution permits the payment of the contribution has also to be ascertained.

14.2.41

11) 12/2

Second Monday 18 Further action may be taken on the issues of the 25th

13/2

14/2

The Mungsi files relating to the formation of the Mungsi Basti Fund and the levy of percentage contributions from Mungsi Institutions to that

OFFICE
ND MEMO
12 FEB 1941
No. 7852

Municipal Services Charities etc. Mysore - Levy of Contributions.

M. E. Fund

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mcl | File No. 214 of 1940. | [App. F. III-2 (Gen.).

Serial No.

L V

Fund, are putup. The lists of institutions referred to, in the Municipal Comrs letter dated 15th December 1927, printed as an annexure to the Govt order dated 31st January 1928 relating to the levy of contributions to the Municipal Instt. Fund, were returned to the Municipal Office. It is therefore not possible from the papers available in the Instt to say why the institution in question was excluded, when the Fund was formed. The Municipal Comrs may therefore be addressed in the matter, and also regarding the financial capacity of the institution to pay the percentage contribution to the Fund. A draft to the Municipal Comrs is put up for orders. (Vide paras 15-16 of the notes supra).

Orders are also solicited if the Municipal Secretary to H.H. may be addressed in the matter after receipt of the information called for, from the Municipal Comrs, as the exact position of the institution in question may be ascertained from his reply.

x Ar — BJ — 21 b. of entries in Sl no 5 of the Statement (A) 18/41 from the M.C. a percentage contribution of 5% amounting to Rs 34-12-10 (has been proposed & was accepted

x w — as per Para 4(6) of G.O. no 5574-5 / mcg 341-26-4 of dt 10.27) Collection pertaining thereto) - The amount appd the mode of contribution will be in order to this & a further report called for in the Govt order dtd 21.1.28 does not appear to affect the position in the case of this draft.

x d — 22 The draft may be issued

Gs BJ

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

for 101

Subbarayas Dan Charities – Mysore corpn

Key File No. 24 of 1940. M.E. General and Secretariat

Serial No.	
23	A letter from the M.C. is perused. 24/2 [illegible] BTS 19/2 GS 19/2
1	2135 dated 20.2.41 The Mysore Corpn in Mysore wrote in reference to the G.O. dated 31.1.1928 levying among other, a percentage contribution in the case of the above Charities and enquiries why action has not been taken till now to realise the said contribution from the institutions.
2	Sec. H 3
3	Letter MC 2065/39-40 dated 16.4.42 from the Mysore Commissioner forwarded a reply to the above letter (serial 1)
P	25 The M.C. was requested ~~to state~~ in this office letter dy 20-2-41 to state the reasons, if any, for not realising the percentage contribution from the Sri Subbarayas Dan Charities through definite orders were passed to that effect on 31-1-1928. The Amildar, Mysore Taluk has reported that as it is not possible to assign any reason at this distance of time.
Q.	26 The Dharmakartha of the temple seems

PRINTING SECTION 31 FEB. 1941 GEN. & REV. DEPTT.

Agra Dist. Charities - Contribution to M. L. Fund. 8.80 Secy.

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 214 of 1941. | [App. F. III-2

Serial No.	
	to Contend that there is no provision in the original Samad for such a levy.
8.	the Dc. Mayore St opines that the fixing of the scale is not contemplated as per G.O. of 1904. and that the question of Contribution of percentage does not arise. But
(E. item 11)	the M.C. observes that according to the G.O. dt 15/16th July 1930 no institution with an income of Rs 10/- & more can be exempted from payment of Contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund and that the rule should be made applicable to this institution also as accountants relating to the Grant have to be maintained in the Taluk and other offices.
	For orders.
	9/6 Jail 10/6/41 Submitted for orders with reference to para 18 of the Board's (Confd) Jail M.C.'s views that this institution cannot be exempted from payment of Contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund appears to be correct his proposal to levy Contribution is for orders.
'Q'	28 The annual Contribution of the institution is Rs 35/- & the from which the same has to be recovered is also for orders. It is stated that no recovery has been made

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Mysore Dharmadaya Dharmadarshi, Mysore
to H. H. Trust

M.2 File No. 214 of 1946/1947

General and Secretaria

Serial No.

made so far

Sd/- Secy 1 12/6

GENERAL SE
13 JUNE 1

(29) What about the financial aspects? The institution to meet the charges, if levied. Why was no enquiry made about this.

The Huzur Secy's proceedings may also be examined.

12/6/46
12/6

7557

(30) Subs: with two office letters for approval.

12/6 (31) Issued 24/6

To M. C.

R 60. Dy 3-7-42.

Enquiring whether the institution is financially able to meet the charges, if levied. 4

To Huzur Secy to H. H. 5

No. R 61 dy 3-7-42

Calls for his opinion in the matter

From Huzur Secy to H. H. 6

No. 492/46 dy 21/26-8-42.

States that as the institution is not in any way connected with the Palace, Govt. may decide the matter in any way they deem fit.

(32) Subs for perusal. To M. C. has not yet replied. (33) Reminder issued

21/8 28/8/46

11 Staircase 000 Charges, Office — Contribution to M. L. Funds

1556

Page 13.

General and Revenue Secretariat — Mur2 File No. 214 of 1940. — [App. F. III-2

Serial No.

(34) Draft D. O. reminder is submitted for approval.

2/19.Jul (35) Please today

2/9/42

Issued No. R 2022 dy 1-9-42.

(36) Draft D. O. reminder is submitted for approval,

12-10-42 (37)

12-10-42

(38) D. O. reminder is submitted for favour of signature.

6-1-43 (39)

6/1

No R 6074 dt 7. 1-43

(40) Draft D. O. reminder submitted for approval.

17-2-43. (41).

17/2

7. Letter No. N.2-C.678/43-HH, dated the 29th June 1944 from the Murrai Commissioner in Mysore stating that as the institution is being managed efficiently it is not feasible to levy percentage contribution towards the Murrai Establishment Fund

(42) The founder of the Temple of Sri Varadaraja

18 FEB. 1943

NOTE—Cont. Sheet.

WD 04155—GPB—55 000—23 10 41

Recovery of contribution to Muz. Estt. Funds Charities at Mysore.

5287/R.

Muz File No. 21 L ——— of 1940-41

General and Re Secretariat

Serial No.

Venkataramana Swamy in Krishna Raja Agrahara Mysore, was one Subbaraya Das, who also founded the Chattram and the Temples of Sri Vijaya Venkateshwara and Sri Prasnadevaru at Bilikere in Hunsur Taluk. The Temple at Mysore is in receipt of a cash grant of Rs 696/- per annum and the Choultry at Bilikere is endowed with extensive Inam lands. It is seen from the records that besides the cash grant, the temple in question is in receipt of (i) 500 seers of rice and lavajama from the Palace, and (ii) lavajama from Sri Parakala Mutt. 'Golaka' collections also form a part of the income.

(43) This institution was formerly under the exclusive control of the Palace authorities having been endowed with cash grants under orders of H. H. Krishnaraja Wodeyar III. In the year 1908-09 however, this institution was transferred from the Palace Deptt. along with nine other institutions, to the control of the Muzrai Department in accordance with G.O No. 720 4/ Muzrai 54-08-6, dated the 20th January 1909.

(44) Although the temple is being continued under the management of the descendents of Subbaraya Das, who are not submitting any accounts pertaining

to it, the accounts are still subject to the scrutiny of Mysore Officers, and, for all practical purposes, this institution is a Mysore institution falling under the purview of the R C & Act and the Rules thereunder. The plea put forth by the present managers for obtaining exemption from the levy of the contribution is that the income of the temple is not adequate to meet the various items of expenditure incurred by them This is due to the absence of a fixed scale of income and expenditure and the managers are therefore liable to incur expenditure arbitrarily without any reasonable limit Moreover, all the income of the temple has not been taken into consideration, nor has any attempt been made by the local officers to ascertain the actual total income of the institution from the several sources

(45) While issuing instructions regarding the levy of contribution to the Mysore Establishment Fund, Govt have been pleased to observe that in the case of institutions with a debit balance the M.C should revise the expenditure and limit it to the available income, providing for the contribution to the Mwx Est-Fund G.O No 574-5/Mwx. 341-26-4, dated 12th October 1927 This principle has been reiterated subsequently in G.O No 1220-21/Mwx 341-26-6, dated the 31st January 1928, which authorised the levy of a contribution, inter alia, on the temple in question, the contribution being fixed at Rs 35/- per annum. No explanation is forthcoming as to why Contribution has not at all recovered in respect of the temple during the past 16 years

(46) Although it is reported that Subbarayadas Charities does not include the institutions at Bilikere

but is meant to refer only to this temple, the managers of this institution are in the possession of the institutions at Bilikere and control their affairs. A small cash contn is made from the funds of the institution at Mysore to the temples at Bilikere every month. The records disclose that the managers have left a very unsatisfactory his of the management of the Choultry and its inam lands, both of which have been neglected, and all the efforts of the local officers to make these managers set right the defects noticed, have been fruitless, and the parties have somehow or other, managed to gain time extending to several years. Nor are they earnest about improving the Choultry at Bilikere, which bears the name of the founder Subbaraya Setti.

(47) When the question of fixing a scale for the temple at Mysore was taken up in 1917-18, the parties seem to have stated that if an additional cash grant sufficient to meet all the items of expenditure that is being incurred by them, were to be sanctioned, a scale might be fixed. What exactly is the present expenditure incurred by the parties, & what would be the most reasonable scale of expenditure commensurate with the importance of the institution, as compared with that of other similar institutions, have not been determined. Their contention that the cash grant is not adequate to pay the contribution is therefore not tenable.

(48) Times have changed and the managers cannot claim that their case should be treated as a special one, as the conditions which led to the issue of the G.O. exempting the submission of accounts in 1904 no longer exist now, and, on the other hand, several new factors pertaining to the control and administration of Muzrai institutions will have

Recovery of contribution towards Muz Estt Fund from Subba-raja Das Charities at Mysore.

√5287/R.

ntinuation Sheet. Muz. File No. 214 ——— of 1940-41. Page. 17.

to be taken into consideration. This institution has therefore been rightly included in the list of institutions from which contribution is recoverable under the G.O. of 31st January at this distance of time, 1938 and there is no reason to go back upon this order. The Huzur Secy. who was consulted, has left the matter to the decision of Govt. (49) The question of revising the expenditure in respect of this institution so as to limit it to the income may perhaps be examined, so that a reasonable amount of contribution may be levied on it, and as a concession the levy may not be given effect to restrospectively.

(50) As regards the Choultry at Bilikere, the matter will have to be pursued further by the local officers who have apparently lost sight of the issues connected therewith, while engaged with the question of levying contribution to the M.E. Fund.

(51). Submitted for orders with reference to paras 14-18 and 29 of the notes supra. (Reference is invited, in this Connection, to G.O. No. 1646-7/Muz.222-29-7, dated the 15th July 1930, directing the levy of contribution from the several Muzrai Institutions with an annual income of Rs. 100/- and above, wherein it was laid down that no institution can be exempted from payment of contribution — towards Muz-Estt-Fund.)

(52) [illegible]

(53) [illegible]

827/

54) This temple comes within the definition of a muz institution as it is receiving a cash grant from Govt. Except for this one fact it appears to differ from almost every other muz institution It has been stated that its case is analogous to that of Sri Subrahmanya Swami temple at Ramanathapur, over which the Head of the puttur mutt claimed full control. His claim however was not conceded by Govt and he was informed that the Govt had no objection to his continuing as sole Dharmadarsi provided he agreed to the muz officers exercising powers of supervision such as calling for accounts, inspection of jewels and to the fixing of a scale of income and expenditure by Govt providing for reserve fund, contribution to muz Estt funds etc. But in the case of the Sri Prasanna Venkataramana Swami temple, there is no committee of Dharmadarsis to supervise its affairs. Nor is the Govt exercising any degree of control. It has not even power to call for accounts. Vide para 6 of the G.O. of 1904, Govt have reviewed

of interference so long as the institution is maintained ~~in~~ in good condition and the services therein are properly conducted. Apart from this, the only duty which that Regn. specifically imposed on the managers was, that they shd maintain proper accounts - which shd be open to inspection by Competent Govt officers.

(55) In the case of Hazrath Kadar Vali Sha Darga at Kirangur, the Sajjada claimed exemption from contribution on the ground that the Govt had no direct control over the Darga and that his position was similar to that of a Mathadipathi who manages the mutt and pays no contribution. The only Govt Order quoted was that the only work which devolved on Govt in connection with this Darga was the payment of the cash grant and that this work did not offer sufficient justification for the levy of contribution. He recommended exemption and the Govt accepted his recommendation. The analogy between this case and that of the temple at Nyasa is remarkably close, and in my opinion, the late-

Recovery of Contribution towards Muz.
F287 the Subbaraya Das charities at Mysore.
R Continuation Sheet. Muz File No. 214 of 40. Page.
Current No.

is entitled to same concession as
the Dargah

Mr Ros
This
Mr Rey
29/7

(56) The liability of this institution to pay the contribution under advertence is one that depends on the interpretation of the terms of the grant and the degree of control that Government are entitled to exercis under the provisions of the Muzrai Act. I think that these are matters of an administrative or legal character My own feeling, however, is that exemptions from the wholesome provisions laid down to ensure proper administration of public (Muzrai) institutions should not be easily allowed.

FINANCIAL SECR.
7 AUG 1944
No. 825

M.V
3 8
244

(57)

(58) Draft Subd -- (Refer Paras 52-3, and 57).

(59)

Issued No.R 957, dated the 16th August 1944. To - The Muzrai Commissioner.
States that the Contribution should be levied on the institution as directed in the G.O. dated 31.1.28 and that the amount should be debited from the cash rent and the arrears alone add to it.
on Inwards a above

No. C. 2068/39-40

Encl. One

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 5th December 194 0.



FROM

RUKN-UL-MULK
S. ABDUL WAJID, B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To



J. Appaji Gowda, Esq., B.A.(Hon.),
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

SIR,

Re. levy of percentage contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund from the funds of Sri Subbaraya Doss Charities at Mysore.

In forwarding herewith a copy of letter No.H.1970/39 dated 1st June 1940 together with its enclosure, received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, re. the above, I have the honor to state as follows.

Sri Subbaraya Doss Charities (Sri Prasanna Venkataramanaswami) in Mysore, are in receipt of a cash grant of Rs 696 per annum; and Rs 58 per mensem is being paid to the manager of the institution.

In view of the G.O.No.2351-2 dated 24th December 1904, re. the management of the institution, no scale of income and expenditure has been fixed. It is seen that the institution is also not contributing its quota to the Muzrai Establishment Fund, though it is in receipt of a cash grant of Rs 696. The levy of contribution from this institution would be obligatory under the rules (vide pp.289 and 296 of the Muzrai Manual).

I may add, in this connection, that exemption has not been granted in this respect to any institution except the Hazarath Khader Vali Sha Darga at Kirangur, in the special circumstances of that case (vide G.O.No.1456-7/Muz.229-39-2 dated 8th May 1935) and the payment of this item, among others, has been made obligatory even in the case of the Sri Subrahmanyaswami Temple at Ramanathapur, in Endorsement No.711/Muz.109-38-3 dated 8-11-1938, issued to the Sri Swamigalu of Sri Subrahmanya Mutt.

In the circumstances, I request that orders of Government may kindly be obtained to the levy of the usual contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund from this institution also.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.H.1970 of 39 dated 1-6-40 the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.C.1841 of 39 dated the 9th October 1939, enquiring why a scale of income and expenditure should not be fixed for the Subraya Dasara Charities in Mysore and why that institution should not be called upon to give a percentage contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund, I have the honor to invite kind reference to Government Order No.2351-2 dated the 24th December 1904 (copy enclosed). Government have held in that order that it is enough if the accounts relating to the institution are duly maintained by the Managers and are open to inspection by competent Government officers. In the circumstances it seems to me that this is one of the institutions to which the ordinary rules framed by Government for the proper supervision of Muzrai Institutions in the State do not apply. The Amildar reports that the institution is being efficiently managed. A copy of his report is enclosed.

"COPY"

M. N. Ananthan.

MP

Report No.341 of 38-39 dated the 18th April 1940 from the Amildar, Mysore Taluk, to the Sub Division Officer, Mysore,

Reference:- Memo No.R3.Muz.119/39-40 dated 17-2-40 from the Sub Division Officer, Mysore Sub Division, in the matter of fixing a scale for Prasanna Venkatarama Swamy Temple of Subbarayadoss of Mysore City.

Submitted to the Sub Division Officer:-

I examined the sannads in the possession of the present Vahivatdars of the temple and the Government Order too relating to the management of the temple. In the third sannad referred to in the Government Order above noted, (of 24th December 1904) it is clearly ordered by the late H.H. Krishnaraj Wodiyar III, that no accounts need be submitted by the managers of the temple.

In my office, I got a certain old file of records on the same question ending with the Deputy Commissioner's Order No.N.Dis.C.281 of 17-18 and 606/24-25 wherein final orders are passed to say that no scale is necessary for the institution. Government have also in 1904 passed orders that accounts maintained by the Managers need only be checked.

I saw the accounts for a number of years maintained in the temple and am able to state that the institution is managed well and the temple kept clean and tidy.

In view of the previous orders passed by Government Order, after sifting the whole question with reference to previous sannads, I am of opinion that a reopening of the question is not called for, so far as fixing of scale is concerned. The previous file on the matter above referred wherein also is enclosed the Government Order of 1904 is enclosed herein for favour of perusal.

'Copy'

HRK. For Deputy Commissioner, Mysore

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

M U Z R A I.

R e a d:-

Petition Registered No.145 dated 9th July 1904, from Venkataraghavendra Doss, son of Subraya Doss, one of the managers of the Sri Prasanna Venkataramana Swamy Temple in Krishna Vilas Agrahar, Mysore City, praying that orders might be issued to the Palace Comptroller, not to demand of him the submission of accounts for the monthly grant of Rs.58/- enjoyed by the temple and,

Letter No.41 dated 5th September 1904, from the Palace Comptroller, Mysore, reporting on the above.

O r d e r thereon No.2351-2 dated the 24th December 1 9 0 4.

From the correspondence received with the letter read above, it is seen that there are three sannads granted on behalf of the Sri Prasanna Venkataramana Swami Temple in Krishna Vilas Agrahar, Mysore City, by H.H. the late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wodiyar Bahadur III, dated respectively the 15th October 1836, 9th October 1837 and 24th February 1858. The first two relate to the grant of the Tasdik amount to Subraya Doss and his discendents for daily puja, ustaavams in the temple, and the third relates to the rendering of account by the temple Managers.

2. In 1858, the question of the Founder of the temple rendering accounts to the Palace Treasury appears to have been raised by the Palace Kazane Bakshi and thereupon H.H. the late Maharaja distinctly ordered in the third sannad above referred to, that no accounts should be called for from the grantee.

3. Upon the late Palace Comptroller, Mr. V. N. Narasimha Iyengar calling for accounts as a condition precedent to the disbursement of

of the monthly allowance, the Managers objected to such rendering of accounts on the strength of the Sannads above referred to, and the grant of the allowance has, on the managers failing to comply, been stopped since April last.

4. With reference to the above, the Government observe that an uncalled for interference with an institution about the management of which there is no complaint, is not only not desirable, but that the result as such action will be demoralising. The effect on the manager will be humiliating and he will lose that sense of honor and responsibility which otherwise would attach to his management. The temple in question is in good condition and the services therein appears to be properly conducted. The manager offers that any one may inspect the temple and the accounts which are regularly kept. It is not understood why the late Palace Comptroller did not avail himself of this offer and satisfy himself by actual inspection and examination that everything was going on properly in the temple. The late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wodiyar's Firup is clear and excuses the manager or recipient of the grant from the duty of furnishing of the accounts. Mr. D. Sita Rama Rao, the late Palace Comptroller, once made a similar demand in His Highness the Late Maharaja Chamarajendra Wodayar's time and withdrew it after a perusal of the sannad above referred to.

5. Under the foregoing circumstances, the Government are of opinion that any requisition against the terms of sannad is undesirable and that it is enough if the accounts are duly maintained by the managers and are open to inspection by competent Government Officers. The action taken by the late Palace Comproller does not therefore meet with the approval of the Government. The monthly allowance to the temple with arrears, if any, should be paid at once to the managers.

managers.

Sd/- L. Anantha Swami Rao,

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Officer in charge of the Palace Establishments, Mysore.

The Comptroller to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

'Copy'

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

HRK.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat.

No. 2135
Secy 214-40.2

Dated, Bangalore,. 20-2-1941

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
General Department.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e .

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. C. 2068/39-40 dated the 5th December 1940 regarding the proposed levy of percentage contributions to the Muzrai Establishment Fund from the funds of Sri Subbaraya Doss Charities (Sri Prasanna Venkataramanaswami Temple) at Mysore, I am directed to observe that in Government Order No. 574-5/Muz. 341-26-4 dated the 12th October 1927, (para 1 (b) thereof) your proposal to levy a contribution of 5 per cent to the Muzrai Establishment Fund in the case of the Institutions included in the statement A which accompanied your letter No. C. 664/1922-23 dated the 2nd February 1927 (vide item 3 of the preamble of the said Government Order) was approved and according to this statement (vide item 5 of the list of Muzrai Institutions in the Mysore Taluk) and also item No. 15 under Mysore District - Annexure to Government Order No. 1220-1/Muz. 341-26-6 dated the 31st January 1928 a contribution of Rs. 34-12-0 (Rs. 35/- in round figures) was due to be levied in the case of the charities referred to above. I am to enquire why action was not taken till now to recover the contributions due from this Institution for the past 1 years and why the question of levying a contribution in respect of this Institution has been raised afresh now.

I have the honor to be,
S i r ,
Your most obedient servant,

Secretary to Government,
General Department

D. Clerk ✓

Section Mus

File No. 214-40

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to 880

No. 2135

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 19

The Manager Commercial Bank
Ltd.

Date of issue 20-2-41.

Signed by Asst. S.K.V.

With reference to your letter No C 2068/37-40 dated 5.12.1940 regarding the proposed levy of percentage contributions to the Mangrai Festival Fund from the funds of Sri Subramaniya Devasthanam Charities (Sri Prasanna Venkatesa Perumal Swami Temple) at Uyilan Kulam

List of enclosures

I am directed to ~~write reference~~ advise you to the sanction conveyed in this office order No 5214-5/Music 361-26-4 dated 12.10.1942 (para 4 (a) thereof) accorded

Copied by

Examined by

WD 086—GPB—40,000—30-7-40

WD 0282—GPB- 22,500—14-3-38

~~The Secretariat~~ ~~of the Mangalore~~
~~Council~~ wrote to Lobo ~~after~~ a reminder
letter of 5.7. to the Mangalore Relief
Fund in the cases of the institutions
included in the Statement A
which accompanied ~~his~~ Your letter
No C 666/1922-23 dated 2.2.1923.
(Vide item 3 of the preamble of the
said Government Order). ~~According to~~
Vide
this Statement (item 5 of the
list of Mangalore institutions in the
ellipse table) a contribution
(Rs 35/- in round figure)
is Rs 34.12-0 was fixed in the name of be levied in the
case of the charities referred
to above. I am ~~therefore~~ to
enquire why the action has
not been taken to realise
the contributions due from the
institutions for the past 14 years
and why the proposal to levy a
~~grant~~ contribution in respect
of this ~~Santa~~ Institution has been raised after
now

also item No 15 under Mysore (- Annexure A to G.O. No 1220-1/Misc 341-26-6 dt 31/1/28

C R. S. App. F. G. 3.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

WD 0282—GPB- 22,500—14-3-38

No C. 2068/39-40
One file

ENCL.

OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 16th April *1942.*

FROM M. VENKATESA IYENGAR, Esq., M.A.,
RUKN-UL-MULK
S. ABDUL WAJID, B.A.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

21 APR. 1942
1449

To

J. Appaji Gowda, Esq., I.A.S.(Hons.
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
General *Department.*

Secy 214-40-3

GENERAL SECRETARY.
21 APR. 1942

SIR

...ew of percentage contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund from the Sri Sudhendra Doss Charities (Sri Prasanna Venkatarmanaswami Temple) at Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.5135/ M.C.14-40-3 dated 20th February 1941 enquiring as to by what action is taken to recover the contribution from the institution referred to above, and as to why this question has been raised afresh, I have the honour to forward herewith a copy of letter No.M.5154/40-41 dated 5th January 1942 received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, and to state that it is reported that it is not possible to assign any reason at this distance of time, for the omissions pointed out by Government. It may be stated here, that however the percentage contribution due to the Muzrai Establishment Fund was not adjusted as per C.C. No.574-C/ M.C.41-95-4 dated 13-11-1937, the Guilders concerned were written to, in this office memo C.C.149/ dated 2nd June 1938, to adjust the contribution due, and the Guilder, Mysore Taluq, was also, likewise, written to, to adjust the contribution due from this institution. The Guilder reported that the Trustees in question were in receipt of a cash grant of Rs.50 from Government and that a sum of Rs.58 was being paid monthly to the Archaka. It appears that no expenditure appears to have been incurred from the funds of this institution, for years, in this connection. I solicited orders in the matter.

2. The Dharmakartha of the temple is reported to be liable to the levy on the ground that there is no provision for this in the original sannad. Although it is true that the institution was

exempted from the submission of accounts for the monthly grants of Rs.8 enjoyed by the temple, as per G.O.No.2351-2 dated 24-12-1904 still there is a direction in the same Order that it is enough if the accounts are duly maintained by the managers and if they are open to inspection by competent Government officers thereby showing that Government supervision is necessary.

3. Government have directed, in their Order No.146-7/Muz.222-23-7 dated 15/16th July 1930, among other matters, that no institution can be exempted from payment of contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund (Muzrai Manual, pp.296) and the rule should be applied to this case also, as the accounts of the institution have to be maintained in the taluq office as well as in this office, as in the case of other minor and major Muzrai institutions the grant has to be disbursed monthly as heretofore, in the taluq treasury, Mysore.

4. In the above circumstances, I am of opinion that there is no case for exempting the Authorities from the levy of the contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund, and request that approval of Government may be obtained to the recovery of the same, in accordance with the orders already passed.

5. The enclosures received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter, are returned herewith.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. Venkatasubbaiya

Muzrai Commissioner.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
MYSORE DISTRICT,

40-41.

Dated, Mysore, the .....5th JANUARY..... *194* 2.

FROM

K. SUBBA RAO, ESQ., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District, Mysore.

To

RUKN-UL-MULK
S. ABDUL WAJID, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai ~~Examiner~~ *Commissioner in Mysore,*
Bangalore.

SIR,

SUBJECT:- Levy of percentage contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund from the Sri Subbaraya Doss Charities Fund at Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.C.2068/39 dated the 13th March 1941, forwarding for report a copy of letter No.2135/Muz.214-40-2 dated the 20th February 1941, from the General Secretary to Government, enquiring why no action was taken to recover the contribution from the above institution though a sum of Rs.35/- was due to be levied as per G.O. dated the 31st January 1928, and why this question has been raised afresh, I have the honor to state that it is reported to be not possible to assign any reason at this distance of time for the omissions pointed out by Government. The Dharmakarta of the Temple is reported to be not agreeable to the levy on the ground that there is no provision for this in the original Sannad. As already intimated in this Office Letter No.H.1970 of 39 dated the 1st June 1940, the fixing of the scale is not contemplated as per Government Order of 1904 and the question of the recovery of percentage contribution does not arise.

2. The connected records received from the Amildar, Mysore Taluk, with his report No.Muz.C.110 of 41 dated the 27th October 1941 accompany.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

exempted from the submission of accounts for the monthly grants of Rs.8 enjoyed by the temple, as per G.O.No.2351-2 dated 24-12-1904 still there is a direction in the same Order that it is enough if the accounts are duly maintained by the managers and if they are open to inspection by competent Government officers thereby showing that Government supervision is necessary.

3. Government have directed, in their Order No.146-7/Muz.222-23-7 dated 15/16th July 1930, among other matters, that no institution can be exempted from payment of contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund (Muzrai Manual, pp.296) and the rule should be applied to this case also, as the accounts of the institution have to be maintained in the taluq office as well as in this office, as in the case of other minor and major Muzrai institutions the grant has to be disbursed monthly as heretofore, in the taluq treasury, Mysore.

4. In the above circumstances, I am of opinion that there is no case for exempting the Charities from the levy of the contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund, and request that approval of Government may be obtained to the recovery of the same, in accordance with the orders already passed.

5. The enclosures received with the Deputy Commissioner's letter, accompany.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M. Venkatesaiyengar

Muzrai Commissioner.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

No. H.3154 of 40-41.
Encl.

Dated, Mysore, the .....5th JANUARY..... *194* 2.

FROM

K. SUBBA RAO, ESQ., B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner.
Mysore District, Mysore.

To

RUKN-UL-MULK
S. ABDUL WAJID, ESQ., B.A.,
Muzrai ~~Deputy~~ *Commissioner in Mysore,*
Bangalore.

SIR,

SUBJECT:- Levy of percentage contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund from the Sri Subbaraya Doss Charities Fund at Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.C.2068/39 dated the 13th March 1941, forwarding for report a copy of letter No.2135/Muz.214-40-2 dated the 20th February 1941, from the General Secretary to Government, enquiring why no action was taken to recover the contribution from the above institution though a sum of Rs.35/- was due to be levied as per G.O. dated the 31st January 1928, and why this question has been raised afresh, I have the honor to state that it is reported to be not possible to assign any reason at this distance of time for the omissions pointed out by Government. The Dharmakarta of the Temple is reported to be not agreeable to the levy on the ground that there is no provision for this in the original Sannad. As already intimated in this Office Letter No.H.1970 of 39 dated the 1st June 1940, the fixing of the scale is not contemplated as per Government Order of 1904 and the question of the recovery of percentage contribution does not arise.

2. The connected records received from the Amildar, Mysore Taluk, with his report No.Muz.C.110 of 41 dated the 27th October 1941 accompany.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner.

HRK-2.

x F G—1]

ECT Levy of percentage Contribution to the M. U. Fund from funds of Sri Subbarayaswamy charities at Mysore.

Section Mu2
File No. 214-40
Serial No. 4.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. R 60

To

The - M. C.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *19* .

Sir,

Date of issue 3-7-42 (F.U.D)

With ref: to the Correspondence ending with your letter No. C. 2068/39-40 dy 16-4-42 on the above subject, I am directed to enquire whether the institution is financially able to meet the charge, if levied.

Signed by Mr. K. M. N

I have etc,

for Secy to Govt
Genl Depts.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT Levy of Percentage Contribution to the Muzrai Establishment from the Funds of Sri Subbarayaswas Charities at Mysore.

Diary No. of letter replied to...

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *19*

Date of issue 3-7-42

Signed by M.Y. K. M. N.

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section Mu 2

File No. 214-40

Serial No. 5

No. R 61/encl. 4

To

The Huzur Secy to H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No. C. 2068/39-40 dated 5-12 1940 received from the H.C. together with its enclosures on the above subject and to request you to be so good as to favour the Govt with your opinion in the matter.

I have &c,

Asst Secy to Govt.
Genl Depr.

Telegram :—"HUSEC."

THE PALACE,

MYSORE.

No. 492 /116

21st / 24th August 1942.

R1056

FROM

SIDDIQ-UL-MULK
SADEG Z. SHAH, ESQ.,

Huzur Secretary to His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

The General Secretary
to the Government of H.H.the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Subject:- Levy of percentage contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund from the Funds of Sri Subbaraya Doss Charities in Mysore.

*** ***

With reference to your letter No.R.61/Muz 214-40 dated the 3rd July 1942, calling for opinion in the above matter, I am desired to state that as the institution is not in any way connected with the Palace, Government may decide the matter in any manner they may deem fit.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

For Huzur Secretary

VGB/MUZ

No. C.N2-678/43-44 OFFICE OF THE MUZRAI COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,
Encl. a copy of letter & Records. Bangalore, 27th June 194

Dairy 214-40

FROM Rajasevaprasakta
Seshadri, Esq., M.A.,
The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.



To

K. Subba Rao, Esq., B.A.,
General Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore.

SIR,

Levy of percentage contribution to Muzrai Establishment Fund from the funds of Sri Subbarayadass Charities at Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.R.60/Muz.214-40 dated 3rd July 1942, enquiring whether the institution is financially able to meet the charge, if levied, I have the honor to state that the institution is only endowed with a cash grant of Rs 58 per month, or Rs 696 a year, while the dharmakarthas are reported to be incurring expenditure up to Rs 120 per mont in addition to Rs 50 towards white-wash, etc. The local officers are of opinion that, as the managers themselves are meeting the extra expenditure and, in view of the efficient and satisfactory management of the affairs of the institution the question of levy of percentage contribution to Muzrai Establishment Fund does not seem to be feasible. The Deputy Commissioner is also of opinion that the institution may, financially, not be able to meet the charge, if levied.

A copy of letter No.F.3154/40-41 dated the 23rd May 1944 together with the connected records, received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, is herewith enclosed

for reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M Venkadesa

Puzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.H.3154/40-41 dated the 23rd May 1944 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Levy of percentage contribution towards Muzrai Establishment fund from the funds of Sree Subbaraya Doss Charities at Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.N2-678 of 43-44 dated 17th Dec. 1943 on the above subject, I have the honour to state that the Subbaraya Doss Chatram at Bilikere and Sri Subbrayadas Charities (Sri Prasanna Venkataramana Swamy Temple) at Mysore, are different institutions, the former being endowed with extensive lands and the latter with a cash grant of Rs 696 only. The Amildar, Mysore Taluk reports that he has inspected the accounts of the latter institution and that the institution is endowed with a cash grant of Rs 58 per month or Rs 696 per year and that as per accounts maintained, the Dharmakarthas are incurring expenditure upto Rs 120 per month. The Amildar, Mysore Taluk is of opinion that question of levy of percentage contribution does not seem to be feasible as the managers themselves are meeting the extra expenditure in view of the efficient and satisfactory management of the affairs of the Institution in question. I agree with the opinion of the Amildar, Mysore Taluk and I am of opinion that the institution may perhaps financially not be able to meet the charge, if levied.

As regards the institution at Bilikere, the villagers of Bogadi and Hebbala have stated before the Revenue Inspector on 15-4-44 that the Inam lands are lying 'banjar' and that the Dharmakarthas are not evincing any interes

leased out, lands under cultivation and they further say that the la
stated in their statement before the Amildar, Mysore Taluk, that they
not maintained any accounts. It is thus clear that the Dharmakarthas
lot efficiently managing the affairs of the temple since they have al
the inam lands to lie bangar from a very long time. As referred in y
letter No.C.299/34-35 dated 15-6-1937 (Pl.542/43-44), the Dharmakartha
eem to have furnished adequate guarantee so far. Howver, I have aske
ub Division Officer, Mysore to inspect the institution and make a det
nquiry and submit suitable proposals for further proper management of
stitution.

The entire records received with the Mysore Sub Division Officer's
-Muz.C.252/41-42 dated 8-5-1944 accompany for kind perusal and earl

---

"Copy"

M. N. Ramaiah

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Appendix F.

BJECT.....

....

.....

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT,

No. R951. Bangalore, 16th August 1944.
Muz 214-40-8
From Rw/rds

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To General Department.

ary No. The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore
Bangalore.

Sir,

Recovery of contribution towards the Muzrai Establishment Fund from Subbaraya Das Charities at Mysore.

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your letter No.M.2.C.678/43-44 dated the 27th June 1944, on the above subject, I am directed to state that Government consider that contribution to the Muzrai Establishment Fund should be levied on Subbaraya Das Charities at Mysore as directed in Govt. Order No.1220-21/Muz.341-26-8, dated the 31st January 1928, and that the amount due on this account should accordingly be deducted from the cash grant and the balance alone paid to th institution.

P.T.O.,

2. I am to add that as regards mismanagement of the Subbaraya Das Choultry at Bilikere, the Deputy C sioner, Mysore District, may look the matter and take necessary act

3. The connected records are he returned.

I have the honour to
Sir,
Your most obedient serv

Sd. K.Subba Rao.

~~Dy~~ Secretary to Gover
General Department.

rvk.3.
Memo No R 958. Dated the 16th August
Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Comptroller to for information..

By Order

F.Draft). [signature]
for General Secret
to Government

3 Copies

Appendix F (4—1]

BJECT Recovery of contribution towards the Muzrai Establishment Fund from Subbaraya Das Charities at Mysore

Section Muzrai

File No. 214-40.

Serial No. 8

ary No. *tter replied to* 5287/R.

No. R. 957

To — The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore

Genera id Revenue Secretariat

Muz. Section)

t. /4th August 194A.

Sir,

Adverting to the correspondence ending with your letter No. N.2-C.678/43-44, dated the 29th June 1944, on the above subject, I am directed to state that Govt. consider that contribution ~~towards~~ to the Muzrai Establishment Fund should be levied on Subbarayadas Charities at Mysore, as ~~already~~ directed in Govt. order No. 1220-21/Muzrai 341-26-8, dated the 31st January 1928, and that the amount due from or from ~~the institution~~ accordingly should be deducted from the cash grant and the balance ~~alone~~ paid to them.

Date of issu 16.8.44

Signed by....

List of enclosures....

Copied by....

Examined by....

2. I am to add that as regards the mismanagement of Subbaraya Das Choultry at Bilikere, the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, may look into the matter and

take necessary action.

3. The connected records are herewith returned.

I have, etc.,

For General Secretary.

Memo No R-458.

Dated the 15th August 1944.

Copy is forwarded with compliments to the Comptroller to Govt. for information.

By Order,

For General Secretary.